

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/653,689 | 09/02/2003 | Charles Moon | 800703 | 3476 |
| 23372 TAYLOR RUS | 7590 10/19/2007 SSELL & RUSSELL, P.C. | | EXAM | INER |
| 4807 SPICEW | OOD SPRINGS ROAD | | WOOD, WILLIAM H | |
| AUSTIN, TX | WO SUITE 250 78759 | 250 | | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , 0, 0, | | 2193 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE ' | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/19/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/653,689 | MOON ET AL. | | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | William H. Wood | 2193 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | · | Idress | | | |
| 'his application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>09 April 2007</u> . (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on | | | | | | |
| | (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). | | | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | |
| (d) 🖾 No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated | | | | | | |
|), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| • | b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | | |
| | The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). | | | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | _ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Trar | nsmission dated |), which is | | | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. | | | | | | |
| . The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | | | | | | |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | se the period for see | eking court review | | | |
| 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: | | | | | | |
| Applicant's representative confirmed in telephone call of 12 October 2007 that no reply has been sent. | | | | | | |
| | a | W. 2 | | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | aw the holding of abandonment under 37 | CFR 1.181, should be | e promptly filed to | | | |